arXiv:1103.3492v2 [math.AP] 12 Aug 2011

On the Cauchy problem for integro-differential operators in Hölder classes and the uniqueness of the martingale problem

R. Mikulevicius and H. Pragarauskas
University of Southern California, Los Angeles
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius

January 15, 2013

Abstract

The existence and uniqueness in Hölder spaces of solutions of the Cauchy problem to parabolic integro-differential equation of the order $\alpha \in (0,2)$ is investigated. The principal part of the operator has kernel $m(t,x,y)/|y|^{d+\alpha}$ with a bounded nondegenerate m, Hölder in x and measurable in y. The result is applied to prove the uniqueness of the corresponding martingale problem.

MSC classes: 45K05, 60J75, 35B65

Key words and phrases: non-local parabolic equations, Hölder-Zygmund spaces, Lévy processes, martingale problem.

1 Introduction

In this note we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) &= Lu(t,x) + f(t,x), (t,x) \in H = [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d, \qquad (1) \\ u(0,x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in Hölder spaces for a class of integrodifferential operators $L = A + B$ of the order $\alpha \in (0,2)$ whose principal part A is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t,x) &= A_t u(t,x) \qquad (2) \\ &= \int \left[u(x+y) - u(x) - \chi_\alpha(y)(\nabla u(x), y)\right] m(t,x,y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

with $\chi_\alpha(y) = 1_{\alpha>1} + 1_{\alpha=1}1_{\{|y|\leq 1\}}$. We notice that the operator A is the generator of an α-stable process. If $m = 1$,then $A = c\,(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ (fractional Laplacian) is the generator of a spherically symmetric α-stable process. The part B is a perturbing, subordinated operator.

In [12], the problem was considered assuming that m is Holder continuous in x, homogeneous of order zero and smooth in y and for some $\eta > 0$

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |(w,\xi)|^\alpha m(t,x,w)\mu_{d-1}(dw) \geq \eta, \quad (t,x) \in H, |\xi| = 1, \tag{3}$$

where μ_{d-1} is the Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere S^{d-1} in $\mathbf{R}^d$. In [1], the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1) in Hölder spaces was proved analytically for m Hölder continuous in x, smooth in y and such that

$$C \geq m \geq \delta > 0 \tag{4}$$

without assumption of homogeneity in y. The elliptic problem $Lu = f$ in $\mathbf{R}^d$ was considered in [2], [4], [10]. In [4], the interior Hölder estimates (in a nonlinear case as well) were studied assuming (4) and $m(x,y) = m(y) = m(-y)$. In [2], the apriori estimates were derived in Holder classes assuming (4) and Holder continuity of m in x, except the case $\alpha = 1$. Similar results, including the case $\alpha = 1$ were proved in [10]. The equation (1) with $\alpha = 1$ can be regarded as a linearization of the quasigeostrophic equation (see [5]).

In this note, we consider he problem (1), assuming that m is measurable, Holder continuous in x and

$$C \geq m \geq m_0, \tag{5}$$

where the function $m_0 = m_0(t,x,y)$ is smooth and homogeneous in y and satisfies (3). So, the density m can degenerate on a substantial set.

A certain aspect of the problem is that the symbol of the operator A,

$$\psi(t,x,\xi) = \int \left[e^{i(\xi,y)} - 1 - \chi_\alpha(y)i(\xi,y)\right] m(t,x,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}$$

is not smooth in ξ and the standard Fourier multiplier results (for example, used in [12]) do not apply in this case. Instead we use direct analytic and probabilistic arguments. We start with equation (1) assuming that $B = 0$, the input function f is smooth and the function $m = m(t,Y)$ is smooth and homogeneous in y, satisfies (3) and does not depend on x. This case of equation (1) was considered in [12] and [14] and the estimates of its solution in Hölder spaces were derived. Then we use the Ito-Wentzell formula to pass to $m(t, y)$ which is only measurable and satisfies (5) and obtain a solution of (1) with all the estimates retained. The case of variable coefficients is considered by using partition of unity and deriving apriori Schauder estimates in Hölder-Zygmund spaces. Finally, we apply the continuation by parameter method to extend solvability of an equation with constant coefficients to that of (1).

As an application, we consider the martingale problem associated to L. Since the coefficients are Hölder the existence of a martingale solution is trivial. Applying the Ito formula to the solution of (1), we prove the weak uniqueness of the solution to the martingale problem, generalizing so the uniqueness results in [1] and [13].

The note is organized as follows. In Section 2, the main theorem is stated. In Section 3, the essential technical results are presented. The case of the equation with constant coefficients not depending on the spacial variable is considered in Section 4. The main theorem is proved in Section 5. In Section 6 the uniqueness of the associated martingale problem is considered.

2 Notation and main results

Denote $H = [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^d$, $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\mathbf{R}_0^d = \mathbf{R}^d \backslash \{0\}$. If $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, we write

$$(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i, |x| = (x, x)^{1/2}.$$

For a function $u = u(t, x)$ on H, we denote its partial derivatives by $\partial_t u = \partial u/\partial t, \partial_i u = \partial u/\partial x_i, \partial_{ij}^2 u = \partial^2 u/\partial x_i \partial x_j$ and $D^\gamma u = \partial^{|\gamma|} u/\partial x_i^{\gamma_1} \ldots \partial x_d^{\gamma_d}$, where multiindex $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d, \nabla u = (\partial_1 u, \ldots, \partial_d u)$ denotes the gradient of u with respect to x.

For a function u on H and $\beta \in (0, 1]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
|u|_0 &= \sup_{t,x} |u(t, x)|, \\
[u]_\beta &= \sup_{t,x,h \neq 0} \frac{|u(t, x+h) - u(t, x)|}{h^\beta} \text{ if } \beta \in (0, 1), \\
[u]_\beta &= \sup_{t,x,h \neq 0} \frac{|u(t, x+h) + u(t, x-h) - 2u(t, x)|}{|h|} \text{ if } \beta = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\beta = [\beta]^- + \{\beta\}^+ > 0$, where $[\beta]^- \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\{\beta\}^+ \in (0, 1]$, we denote

$C^\beta(H)$ denote the space of measurable functions u on H such that the norm

$$|u|_\beta = \sum_{|\gamma|\le[\beta]^-} |D^\gamma u|_0 + \sup_{|\gamma|=[\beta]^-} [D^\gamma u]_{\{\beta\}^+}.$$

Accordingly, $C^\beta(\mathbf{R}^d)$ denotes the corresponding space of functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$. The classes C^β coincide with Hölder spaces if $\beta \notin \mathbf{N}$ (see 1.2.2 of [17]).

For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$, we define the fractional Laplacian

$$\partial^\alpha u(t,x) = \int [u(t,x+y) - u(t,x) - (\nabla u(t,x), y)\, \chi_\alpha(y)] \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \qquad (6)$$

where $\chi^{(\alpha)}(y) = \mathbf{1}_{\{|y|\le 1\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha=1\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha\in(1,2)\}}$.

We denote $C_b^\infty(H)$ the space of bounded infinitely differentiable in x functions whose derivatives are bounded.

$C = C(\cdot,\ldots,\cdot)$ denotes constants depending only on quantities appearing in parentheses. In a given context the same letter is (generally) used to denote different constants depending on the same set of arguments.

Let $(U,\mathcal{U})$ be a measurable space with a non-negative measure $\pi(dv)$ on it.

Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $\beta \in (0,1]$ be fixed. Let $m : H \times \mathbf{R}_0^d \to [0,\infty), b : H \to \mathbf{R}^d, c : H \times U \to \mathbf{R}^d$ and $\rho : H \times U \to \mathbf{R}$ be measurable functions. We also introduce an auxiliary function $m_0 : [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}_0^d \to [0,\infty)$ and fix positive constants K and μ. Throughout the paper we assume that the function m_0 satisfies the following conditions.

Assumption $\mathbf{A}_0$. (i) The function $m_0 = m_0(t,y) \ge 0$ is measurable, homogeneous in y with index zero, differentiable in y up to the order $d_0 = [\frac{d}{2}] + 1$ and

$$|D_y^\gamma m_0^{(\alpha)}(t,y)| \le K$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, $y \in \mathbf{R}_0^d$ and multiindices $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}_0^d$ such that $|\gamma| \le d_0$;

(ii) If $\alpha = 1$, then for all $t \in [0,T]$

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} w m_0(t,w)\mu_{d-1}(dw) = 0,$$

where S^{d-1} is the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^d$ and μ_{d-1} is the Lebesgue measure on it;

(iii) For all $t \in [0,T]$

$$\inf_{|\xi|=1} \int_{S^{d-1}} |(w,\xi)|^\alpha m_0(t,w)\mu_{d-1}(dw) \ge \eta > 0.$$

Remark 1 The nondegenerateness assumption A_0 (iii) holds with certain $\delta > 0$ if, e.g.

$$\inf_{t\in[0,T],w\in\Gamma} m_0^{(\alpha)}(t,w) > 0$$

for a measurable subset $\Gamma \subset S^{d-1}$ of positive Lebesgue measure.

Further we will use the following assumptions.

Assumption A. (i) For all $(t,x) \in H, y \in \mathbf{R}_0^d$,

$$|m(\cdot,y)|_\beta \leq K$$

and

$$m(t,x,y) \geq m_0(t,y),$$

where the function m_0 satisfies Assumption $\mathbf{A}_0$;

(ii) If $\alpha = 1$, then for all $(t,x) \in H$ and $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\int_{r<|y|\leq 1} ym(t,x,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} = 0.$$

We will assume that there is a decreasing sequence of subsets $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U = \cup_n U_n^c$ and the following assumptions hold.

Assumption B1. (i) for all $(t,x) \in H$,

$$\int_{U_1} |c(t,x,\upsilon)|^\alpha \pi(d\upsilon) + \int_{U_1^c} |c(t,x,\upsilon)|^{\alpha\wedge 1} \wedge 1\pi(d\upsilon) \leq K$$

(ii) for $\alpha \in (0.2)$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\sup_{t,x}\int 1_{|c(t,x,\upsilon)|\leq\varepsilon}|c(t,x,\upsilon)|^\alpha\pi(d\upsilon) = 0$$

Assumption B2. (i)

$$|b|_\beta + |l|_\beta \leq K;$$

(ii) If $\alpha \in [1,2)$, then there is a constant C such that for all $(t,x) \in H, h \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\int_{U_1} |c(t,x,\upsilon) - c(t,x+h,\upsilon)|^\alpha \pi(d\upsilon) \leq C|h|^{\alpha\beta},$$

and

$$\int_{U_1^c} [|c(t,x,\upsilon) - c(t,x+h,\upsilon)| \wedge 1]\pi(d\upsilon) \leq C|h|^\beta;$$

(iii) If $\alpha < 1$, then there is β' such that $\alpha+\beta > \alpha+\beta' \geq \beta$ and there is a constant C such that for all $(t,x), \in H, h \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{U_1} |c(t,x,\upsilon) - c(t,x+h,\upsilon)|^{(\alpha+\beta')\wedge 1}\pi(d\upsilon) &\leq C|h|^{\beta}, \\
\int_{U_1^c} |c(t,x,\upsilon) - c(t,x+h,\upsilon)|^{(\alpha+\beta')\wedge 1} \wedge 1\pi(d\upsilon) &\leq C|h|^{\beta};
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) For all $\upsilon \in U$,

$$|\rho\,(\cdot,\upsilon)\,|_{\beta} \leq K.$$

For $(t,z) \in H, u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we introduce the operators

$$A_{t,z}u(x) = A^m_{t,z}u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [u(x+y) - u(x) - (\nabla u(x), y)\chi_\alpha(y)] m(t,z,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{t,z,\bar{z}}u(x) &= (b(t,z)\nabla u(x))1_{1\leq\alpha<2} + \int_U [u(x+c(t,z,\upsilon)) - u(x) \\
&\quad -(\nabla u(x), c(t,z,\upsilon))1_{U_1}(\upsilon)1_{1<\alpha<2}]\rho(t,\bar{z},\upsilon)\pi(d\upsilon) \\
&\quad +l(t,z)u(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$L_{t,z}u(x) = A_{t,z}u(x) + B_{t,z,z}u(x). \tag{7}$$

For brevity of notation, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
Au(t,x) &= A_t u(x) = A_{t,x}u(x), Bu(t,x) = B_t u(x) = B_{t,x,x}u(x), \qquad (8)\\
Lu(t,x) &= L_t u(x) = L_{t,x}u(x), L = A+B.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Assumptions **A, B1, B2**, the operator A represents the principal part of L and the operator B is a lower order operator.

Remark 2 *A simple example of* $U, U_n, \pi(d\upsilon)$ *is* $U = \mathbf{R}^d_0, U_n = \{\upsilon : |\upsilon| < 1/n\}, c(t,x,\upsilon) = \upsilon, \pi(d\upsilon) = d\upsilon/|\upsilon|^{d+\alpha'}, \alpha' < \alpha$, *and*

$$Bu(t,x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d_0} [u(x=y) - u(x) - (\nabla u(x), y)\mathbf{1}_{\{|y|\leq 1\}}\mathbf{1}_{1\leq\alpha'<2}]\rho(t,x,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha'}}.$$

For a fixed $\alpha \in (0,2), \beta \in (0,1)$ we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u(t,x) &= (L-\lambda)u(t,x) + f(t,x), (t,x) \in H, \qquad (9)\\
u(0,x) &= 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^d,
\end{aligned}$$

in Holder classes $C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$, where $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f \in C^{\beta}(H)$.

Definition 3 *Let f be a bounded measurable function on H. We say that $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ is a solution of (9), if for each $(t,x) \in H$,*

$$u(t,x) = \int_0^t [Lu(s,x) - \lambda u(s,x) + f(s,x)]ds. \tag{10}$$

If Assumptions **A** and **B1** are satisfied, then Lu is bounded (see Proposition 11 and Lemma 10 below). So, (10) is well defined.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 4 *Let $\alpha \in (0,2), \beta \in (0,1]$ and Assumptions **A, B1,** and **B2** be satisfied.*

Then for any $f \in C^{\beta}(H)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ to (9). Moreover, there is a constant $C = C(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\mu)$ such that

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leqslant C|f|_{\beta},$$

and for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|u(t,\cdot) - u(s,\cdot)|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\beta} \leq C(t-s)^{1/2}|f|_{\beta}.$$

3 Auxiliary results

We will use the following equality for the Hölder norm estimates.

Lemma 5 (*Lemma 2.1 in* [11]) *For $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$,*

$$u(x+y) - u(x) = C\int k^{(\delta)}(y,z)\partial^{\delta}u(x-z)dz, \tag{11}$$

where the constant $C = C(\delta,d)$ and

$$k^{(\delta)}(y,z) = |z+y|^{-d+\delta} - |z|^{-d+\delta}.$$

Moreover, there is a constant $C = C(\delta,d)$ such that for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$

$$\int |k^{(\delta)}(y,z)|dz \leq C|y|^{\delta}.$$

The following Lemmas 6, 8 are deterministic counterparts of the statements proved in [14].

Lemma 6 *(see Corollary 15 in [14])Let $\beta \in (0,1], f \in C^{\beta}(H)$. Then there is a sequence $f_n \in C_b^{\infty}(H)$ such that*

$$|f_n|_{\beta} \leq 2|f|_{\beta}, |f|_{\beta} \leq \liminf_{n} |f_n|_{\beta},$$

and for any $0 < \beta' < \beta$

$$|f_n - f|_{\beta'} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. The proof in [14] (Corollaries 13 and 15) for $\beta \in (0,1)$ covers without any changes the case $\beta = 1$ as well. ■

Lemma 7 (*see Theorem 6.3.2 in[3]*) *For $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $\beta > 0$, the norms $|u|_{\alpha,\beta} = |u|_0 + |\partial^{\alpha} u|_{\beta}$, and $|u|_{\alpha+\beta}$ are equivalent in $C^{\alpha+\beta}$.*

Let us introduce an operator A^0 defined as operator A with m replaced by m_0. In terms of Fourier transforms, for $u \in C_b^{\infty}(H)$,

$$\mathcal{F}\left(A^0 u\right)(t,\xi) = \psi_0(t,\xi)\mathcal{F}u(t,\xi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(t,\xi) &= -C\int_{S^{d-1}} |(w,\xi)|^{\alpha}[1 - i(\tan\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(w,\xi)1_{\alpha\neq 1} \\ &\quad -\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sgn}(w,\xi)\ln|(w,\xi)|1_{\alpha=1}]m_0(t,w)\mu_{d-1}(dw) \end{aligned}$$

and the constant $C = C(\alpha) > 0$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} K_{s,t}(\xi) &= \exp\left\{\int_s^t \psi_0(r,\xi)dr\right\}, s \leq t, \\ G_{s,t}(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}K_{s,t}, G_{s,t}^{\lambda}(x) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)}G_{s,t}(x). \end{aligned}$$

According to Assumption $\mathbf{A}_0$, $\int |K_{s,t}(\xi)|d\xi < \infty, s < t$. Therefore $G_{s,t}$ is the density function of a random variable whose characteristic function is $K_{s,t}$. Hence,

$$G_{s,t} \geq 0, \int G_{s,t}(y)dy = 1, s < t. \tag{12}$$

Let $f \in C_b^{\infty}(H)$ and

$$R_{\lambda}f(t,x) = \int_0^t \left[G_{s,t}^{\lambda} * f(s,\cdot)\right](x)ds, \tag{13}$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution with respect to x.

Lemma 8 *(see Lemmas 7 and 17 in [14])Let* $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $\beta \in (0,1]$, $f \in C_b^\infty(H)$ *and Assumption* $\mathbf{A}_0$ *be satisfied. Then the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) &= A^0 u(t,x) - \lambda u(t,x) + f(t,x), (t,x) \in H, \\ u(0,x) &= 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

has a unique solution $u = R_\lambda f \in C_b^\infty(H)$. *Moreover, there are constants* $C_1 = C(\alpha,\ \beta, T,\ d, \mu, K)$ *and* $C_2 = C_2(\alpha, d)$ *such that*

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leqslant C_1 |f|_\beta, \tag{15}$$

$$|u|_\beta \leq C_2(\lambda^{-1} \wedge T)|f|_\beta \tag{16}$$

and for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$

$$|u(t,\cdot) - u(s,\cdot)|_{\alpha/2+\beta} \leq C(t-s)^{1/2}|f|_\beta. \tag{17}$$

Proof. The statement is proved in [14] for $\beta \in (0,1)$ (Lemmas 7 and 17). According to Lemma 7 in [14], for each $f \in C_b^\infty(H)$ there is a unique solution $u = R_\lambda f \in C_b^\infty(H)$. Obviously $\partial^{1/2} u$ solve the equation (8) in $C_b^\infty(H)$ with $\partial^{1/2} f$ as input function. Applying the statement with $\beta = 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^{1/2} u|_{\alpha+1/2} &\leq C|\partial^{1/2} f|_{1/2}, \\ |\partial^{1/2} u|_{1/2} &\leq C_2(\lambda^{-1} \wedge T)|\partial^{1/2} f|_{1/2}, \\ |\partial^{1/2} u(t,\cdot) - \partial^{1/2} u(s,\cdot)|_{\alpha/2+1/2} &\leq C(t-s)^{1/2}|\partial^{1/2} f|_{1/2}, s \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 (using equivalence of norms), we see that there are constants $C_1 = C(\alpha,\ \beta, T,\ d, \mu, K)$ and $C_2 = C_2(\alpha, d)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{\alpha+1} &\leq C_1 |f|_1, \\ |u|_1 &\leq C_2(\lambda^{-1} \wedge T)|f|_1, \\ |u(t,\cdot) - u(s,\cdot)|_{\alpha/2+1} &\leq C_1(t-s)^{1/2}|f|_1, s \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

The statement follows immediately for $\beta = 1$ by repeating the proof of Theorem 6 in [14] and using Lemma 6 with $\beta = 1$. ■

Let $c_i : U \to \mathbf{R}^d, i = 1,2$, be measurable functions and $\nu(d\upsilon)$ be a σ-finite signed measure on $(U, \mathcal{U})$. Consider the operators $(i = 1,2)$

$$L^i = L^{c_i} u(x) = \int_U [u(x + c_i(\upsilon)) - u(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\alpha \in (1,2)} \mathbf{1}_{U_1}(\upsilon)(\nabla u(x), c_i(\upsilon))]\nu(d\upsilon),$$

where $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}, |\nu|(U_1^c) < \infty$ ($|\nu|$ is the total variation of ν).

Lemma 9 *Let $\beta \in (0,1]$. Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)}\{\int_{U_1} |c_i(\upsilon)|^{\alpha}|\nu|(d\upsilon) + \int_{U_1^c} |c_i(\upsilon)| \wedge 1|\nu|(d\upsilon)\} \\
& +\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(0,1]} \int_U |c_i(\upsilon)|^{\alpha} \wedge 1|\nu|(d\upsilon) \\
\leq \ & K_1, i = 1,2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then there is $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$ and a constant C such that for each $\kappa \in (0,1)$

$$\sup_x |L^1 u(x)| \leq C|u|_{\alpha+\beta'} K_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[L^1 u\right]_{\beta} \ \leq \ & C|u|_{a+\beta}[1_{\alpha\in(1,2)} \int_{U_1,|c_1|\leq\kappa} |c_1|^{\alpha} d|\nu| + \mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(0,1]} \int_{|c_1|\leq\kappa} |c_1|^{\alpha} d|\nu|] \\
& +|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}\kappa^{-\alpha} K_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, there is $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$ such that $\alpha + \beta' \geq \beta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_x |L^1 u(x) - L^2 u(x)| \\
\leq \ & C|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}\{\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(0,1]} \int |c_1 - c_2|^{(\alpha+\beta')\wedge 1} \wedge 1 d|\nu| \\
& +\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)}[\int_{U_1^c} |c_1 - c_2| \wedge 1 d|\nu| + (\int_{U_1} |c_1 - c_2|^{\alpha} d|\nu|)^{1/\alpha}]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then for any $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$,

$$\sup_x |L^1 u(x)| \leq C|u|_{\alpha+\beta'} \left(\int |c_1|^{\alpha} \wedge 1 d|\nu| \right).$$

If $\alpha \in (1,2)$, then

$$L^1 u = \int_{U_1} ... + \int_{U_1^c} ... = L_1^1 u + L_2^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_x |L_1^1 u(x)| \ & \leq \ C|u|_{\alpha} \int_{U_1} |c_1|^{\alpha} d|\nu|, \\
\sup_x |L_2^2 u(x)| \ & \leq \ C|u|_{\alpha} \int_{U_1^c} |c_1| \wedge 1 d|\nu|.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then for each $\kappa \in (0,1)$

$$L^1 u = \int_{|c_1|\leq \kappa} ... + \int_{|c_1|>\kappa} = L_1^1 u + L_2^1 u$$

and

$$|L_1^1 u|_\beta \leq C|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \int_{|c_1|\leq\kappa} |c_1|^\alpha d|\nu|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |L_2^2 u|_\beta &\leq C|u|_\beta |\nu| \left(|c_1| > \kappa\right) \\ &\leq C\kappa^{-\alpha}|u|_\beta \int |c_1|^\alpha \wedge 1 d|\nu|. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \in (1,2)$, then

$$L^1 u = \int_{U_1} ... + \int_{U_1^c} ... = L_1^1 u + L_2^1 u$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^1 u(x) &= \int_{U_1, |c|\leq\kappa} \int_0^1 (\partial^{\alpha-1}\nabla u(x-z) k^{(\alpha-1)}(z, sc_1), c_1) dz d\nu \\ + \int_{U_1, |c_1|>\kappa} ... &= L_{11}^1 u(x) + L_{12}^1 u(x). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{11}^1 u]_\beta &\leq C|\partial^{\alpha-1}\nabla u|_\beta \int_{U_1, |c_1|\leq\kappa} |c_1|^\alpha d|\nu|, \\ [L_{12}^1 u]_\beta &\leq C\kappa^{-\alpha}|u|_{1+\beta} \int_{U_1} |c_1|^\alpha d|\nu|. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} L_2^1 u &= \int_{U_1^c, |c_1|>1} ... + \int_{U_1^c, |c_1|\leq 1} ... \\ &= \int_{U_1^c, |c_1|\leq 1} \int_0^1 (\nabla u(x+sc_1), c_1) d\nu + \int_{U_1^c, |c_1|>1} ... \\ &= L_{21}^1 u + L_{22}^1 u, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{21}^1 u]_\beta &\leq C|\nabla u|_\beta \int |c_1| \wedge 1 d|\nu|, \\ [L_{22}^1 u]_\beta &\leq C|u|_\beta \int |c_1| \wedge 1 d|\nu|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}|L^1u(x)-L^2u(x)| &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(0,1]}\int|u(x+c_1)-u(x+c_2)|d|\nu| \\ &\quad +\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)}[\int_{U_1^c}|u(x+c_1)-u(x+c_2)|d|\nu| \\ &\quad +\int_{U_1}\int_0^1|(\nabla u(x+sc_1)-\nabla u(x),c_1) \\ &\quad -(\nabla u(x+sc_2)-\nabla u(x),c_2)|d|\nu|].\end{aligned}$$

So, there is $\beta'\in(0,\beta)$ such that $\alpha+\beta'\geq\beta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&|L^1u(x)-L^2u(x)| \\ \leq\ & C|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}[\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(0,1]}\int|c_1-c_2|^{(\alpha+\beta')\wedge 1}\wedge 1d|\nu|+\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)}\int_{U_1^c}|c_1-c_2|\wedge 1d|\nu|] \\ & +\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)}|\nabla u|_{\alpha-1}[\int_{U_1}|c_1-c_2|^{\alpha-1}|c_1|d|\nu|+\int_{U_1}|c_2|^{\alpha-1}|c_1-c_2|d|\nu|]\end{aligned}$$

and the last term can be estimated by Hölder inequality. ■

Lemma 10 *(cf. Lemma 23 in [14])Let $\beta\in(0,1]$ and assumptions B1-B2 be satisfied. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a constant C_ε such that for any $u\in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d),t\in[0,T]$,*

$$|Bu|_\beta\leq\varepsilon|u|_{\alpha+\beta}+C_\varepsilon|u|_0.$$

Proof. Let $\beta\in(0,1)$. Since for $(t,x)\in H,z,h\in\mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}B_{t,x+h,z}u(x+h)-B_{t,x,z}u(x) &= B_{t,x+h,z}u(x+h)-B_{t,x+h,z}u(x) \\ &\quad +B_{t,x+h,z}u(x)-B_{t,x,z}u(x),\end{aligned}$$

it follows by Lemma 9 that for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a constant C_ε such that for all $z\in\mathbf{R}^d$,

$$|B_{\cdot,z}u|_\beta\leq\varepsilon|u|_{\alpha+\beta}+C_\varepsilon|u|_0. \tag{18}$$

Let $\beta=1$. Since for $(t,x)\in H,z,h\in\mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}&B_{t,x+h,z}u(x+h)-2B_{t,x,z}u(x)+B_{t,x-h,z}u(x-h) \\ =\ & [B_{t,x+h,z}u(x+h)-B_{t,x,z}u(x+h)]+[B_{t,x-h,z}u(x+h)-B_{t,x,z}u(x+h)] \\ & +[B_{t,x-h,z}u(x-h)-B_{t,x,z}u(x-h)]-[B_{t,x-h,z}u(x+h)-B_{t,x,z}u(x+h)] \\ & +B_{t,x,z}u(x+h)-2B_{t,x,z}u(x)+B_{t,x,z}u(x-h)\end{aligned}$$

it follows again by Lemma 9 that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant C_ε such that for all $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$|B_{\cdot,z}u|_1 \leq \varepsilon |u|_{\alpha+1} + C_\varepsilon |u|_0. \tag{19}$$

Finally, if $\beta \in (0,1)$, then for all $(t,x) \in H, z \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{t,x+h,x+h}u(x+h) - B_{t,x,x}u(x) \\ = \; & B_{t,x+h,x+h}u(x+h) - B_{t,x,x+h}u(x) \\ & + B_{t,x,x+h}u(x) - B_{t,x,x}u(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_{t,x+h,x+h}u(x+h) - B_{t,x,x+h}u(x)| \\ \leq \; & |h|^\beta \sup_z [B_{\cdot,z}u]_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

So, the statement follows by (18) and Lemma 9.

If $\beta = 1$, then $(t,x) \in H, z, h \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{t,x+h,x+h}u(x+h) - 2B_{t,x,x}u(x) + B_{t,x-h,x-h}u(x-h) \\ = \; & \{B_{t,x+h,x+h}u(x+h) - 2B_{t,x+h,x}u(x+h) + B_{t,x+h,x-h}u(x+h)\} \\ & + \{[B_{t,x-h,x-h}u(x-h) - B_{t,x-h,x}u(x-h)] - [B_{t,x+h,x-h}u(x+h) - B_{t,x+h,x}u(x+h)]\} \\ & + \{B_{t,x+h,x}u(x+h) - 2B_{t,x,x}u(x) + B_{t,x-h,x}u(x-h)\} \end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows by (19) and Lemma 9. ■

Let $n : \mathbf{R}_0^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying the following conditions:

(i) there is a constant k_1 such that for all $(t,x) \in H, y \in \mathbf{R}_0^d$,

$$|n(y)| \leq k_1; \tag{20}$$

(ii) if $\alpha = 1$, then for all $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\int_{r<|y|\leq 1} y n(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+1}} = 0. \tag{21}$$

For $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we introduce the operators

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nabla_y^\alpha u(x) n(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}.$$

Proposition 11 *Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $\beta \in (0,1], \beta' \in (0,\beta)$ and (20), (21) be satisfied.*

Then there are constants $C_1 = C_1(\alpha,\beta',d), C_2 = C_2(\alpha,\beta,d)$ such that for all $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x |\mathcal{A}u(x)| &\leq C_1 k_1 |u|_{\alpha+\beta'}, \\ [\mathcal{A}u]_\beta &\leq C_2 k_1 |u|_{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$ be such that $\alpha + \beta' < 1$. Then for $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$\sup_x |\mathcal{A}u(x)| \leq Ck_1|u|_{a+\beta'} \int (|y|^{\alpha+\beta'} \wedge 1) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq CK|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}.$$

For $\alpha \in [1,2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}u(x) &= \int_{|y|\leq 1} \left(\int_0^1 (\nabla u(x+sy) - \nabla u(x), y \right) ds] m(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \int_{|y|>1} [u(x+y) - u(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)} (\nabla u(x), y)] m(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ &= L_1 u(x) + L_2 u(x). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for any $\mu \in (0,1)$ such that $1 + \mu \in (\alpha, \alpha+\beta)$

$$\sup_x |L_1 u(x)| \leq CK|\nabla u|_\mu \int_{|y|\leq 1} |y|^{1+\mu-d-\alpha} dy \leq CK|u|_{1+\mu},$$

and

$$\sup_x |L_2 u(x)| \leq CK \sup_x (|u(x)| + |\nabla u(x)|).$$

In order to estimate the differences, first we note that for $u \in C^{1+\mu}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu \in (0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x+h) - u(x) + u(x-h) - u(x) \\ = & \int_0^1 (\nabla u(x+sh) - \nabla u(x-sh), t)\, ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|u(x+h) - u(x) + u(x-h) - u(x)| \leq C|\nabla u|_\mu |h|^{1+\mu}, x, h \in \mathbf{R}^d. \qquad (22)$$

Also, for $u \in C^{\mu}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu \in (0,1)$,

$$|u(x+h)-u(x)| \leq C|u|_{\mu}|h|^{\mu}, x,h \in \mathbf{R}^d. \tag{23}$$

Fix $h \in \mathbf{R}^d$ with $a = |h| \in (0,1)$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int_{|y|\leq a} ... + \int_{|y|>a} ... = I_1(x) + I_2(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &= \int_{|y|\leq a} [u(x+y)-u(x)-\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in[1,2)}\,(\nabla u(x),y)]m(y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \\ I_2(x) &= \int_{|y|>a} [u(x+y)-u(x)-\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\in(1,2)}\,(\nabla u(x),y)]m(y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in (0,1), \beta \in (0,1]$, let $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$ and $\alpha+\beta' < 1$. Then for $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(x+h)-I_1(x)| &\leq k_1 \int_{|y|\leq a} |\partial^{\alpha+\beta'}u(x+h-z) - \partial^{\alpha+\beta'}u(x-z)|\, |k^{(\alpha+\beta')}(z,y)|\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ &\leq Ck_1|\partial^{\alpha+\beta'}|_{\beta-\beta'}a^{\beta-\beta'}\int_{|y|\leq a}|y|^{\alpha+\beta'}\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq Ck_1|u|_{\alpha+\beta}a^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in [1,2), \beta \in (0,1]$, let $\beta' \in (0,1)$ and $\alpha < 1+\beta' < \alpha+\beta$. Then for $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_1(x+h)-I_1(x)| \\ \leq\ & Ck_1\int_{|y|\leq a}\int_0^1\int \left| \left(\partial^{\beta'}\nabla u(x+h-z)-\partial^{\beta'}\nabla u(x-z),y\right)\right| |k^{(\beta')}(z,sy)|dzds\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ \leq\ & Ck_1|\partial^{\beta'}\nabla u|_{\alpha+\beta-\beta'-1}a^{\alpha+\beta-1-\beta'}\int_{|y|\leq a}|y|^{1+\beta'}\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq Ck_1|u|_{\alpha+\beta}a^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha \in (0,1], \beta \in (0,1)$, and $\alpha' < \alpha$ be such that $\beta+\alpha-\alpha' < 1$. By Lemma 5 and (23),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(x+h)-I_2(x)| &\leq k_1\int_{|y|>a}|\partial^{\alpha'}u(x+h-z)-\partial^{\alpha'}u(x-z)|\,|k^{(\alpha')}(y,z)|\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ &\leq Ck_1|\partial^{\alpha'}u|_{\alpha+\beta-\alpha'}a^{\alpha+\beta-\alpha'}\int_{|y|>a}|y|^{\alpha'}\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq Ck_1|u|_{\alpha+\beta}a^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $\beta = 1$, let $\alpha' \in (0,\alpha)$. Then $1 < \beta + \alpha - \alpha' < 2$,

$$I_2(x) = \int_{|y|>a} \int \partial^{\alpha'} u(x-z) k^{(\alpha')}(z,y) m(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}.$$

and by (22),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(x+h) - 2I_2(x) + I_2(x-h)| &\leq Ck_1 |\partial^{\alpha'} u|_{\alpha+\beta-\alpha'} a^{\alpha+\beta-\alpha'} \int_{|y|>a} |y|^{\alpha'} \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ &\leq Ck_1 |u|_{\alpha+\beta} a^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^d, a = |x - \bar{x}|$, and $\beta' < 1$ be such that $\alpha + \beta' < 2$ and $0 \leq \beta - \beta' < 1$. By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & |L_1 u(x) - L_1(\bar{x})| \\ \leq\ & K \int_{|y|\leq a} \int_0^1 \int |\partial^{\alpha+\beta'-1} \nabla u(x-z) - \partial^{\alpha+\beta'-1} \nabla u(x-z)| \times \\ & \times |k^{(\alpha+\beta'-1)}(sy,z)||y| \frac{dsdzdy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ \leq\ & CK |u|_{\alpha+\beta} a^{\beta-\beta'} \int_{|y|\leq a} |y|^{\alpha+\beta'} \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} = CK|u|_{\alpha+\beta} a^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in (1,2), \beta \in (0,1)$, let $1 < \alpha' < \alpha$ be such that $\alpha - \alpha' + \beta < 1$. By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_2(x+h) - I_2(x)| \\ =\ & |\int_{|y|>a} \int_0^1 \int \left(\partial^{\alpha'-1} \nabla u(x+h-z) - \partial^{\alpha'-1} \nabla u(x-z), y \right) k^{(\alpha'-1)}(z,sy) m(y) \frac{dzdsdy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}| \\ \leq\ & Ck_1 |\partial^{\alpha'-1} \nabla u|_{\alpha+\beta-\alpha'} a^{\alpha+\beta-\alpha'} \int_{|y|>a} |y|^{\alpha'} \frac{dzdsdy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq Ck_1 |u|_{\alpha+\beta} a^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in (1,2), \beta = 1$, we have

$$I_2(x) = \int_{|y|>a} \int_0^1 \left(\nabla u(x+sy) - \nabla u(x), y \right) m(y) ds \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}},$$

and, by (22),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(x+h) - 2I_2(x) + I_2(x-h)| &\leq Ck_1 |\nabla u|_{\alpha+\beta-1} a^{\alpha} \int_{|y|>a} |y| \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\ &= Ck_1 |u|_{a+\beta} a. \end{aligned}$$

The statement follows. ■

We will need a generalization of this statement. Let $n : \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}_0^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying the following conditions:

(i) there is a constant k_1 such that for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d, y \in \mathbf{R}_0^d$

$$|n(x,y)| \leq k_1; \tag{24}$$

(ii) for $\beta \in (0,1]$ there is a constant k_2 such that for all $y \in \mathbf{R}_0^d$

$$[n(\cdot,y)]_\beta \leq k_2; \tag{25}$$

(iii) if $\alpha = 1$, then for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d, r \in (0,1)$,

$$\int_{r<|y|\leq 1} yn(x,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+1}} = 0. \tag{26}$$

For $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we introduce an operator

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nabla_y^\alpha u(x) n(x,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}.$$

Corollary 12 *Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $\beta \in (0,1], \beta' \in (0,\beta)$ and (24)-(26) be satisfied. Then there is a constant $C = C(\alpha,\beta,\beta',d)$ such that for all $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$*

$$|\mathcal{A}u|_\beta \leq C[k_1|u|_{\alpha+\beta} + k_2|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}].$$

Proof. For $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ consider

$$\mathcal{A}_z u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nabla_y^\alpha u(x) n(z,y)\frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, x,z \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Let $\beta \in (0,1)$. Since for $h,x \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{x+h}u(x+h) - \mathcal{A}_x u(x) &= (\mathcal{A}_{x+h}u(x+h) - \mathcal{A}_{x+h}u(x)) \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{A}_{x+h}u(x) - \mathcal{A}_x u(x)), \end{aligned}$$

the statement follows by Proposition 11.

Let $\beta = 1$. Since, similarly, for $h,x \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}u(x+h) - 2\mathcal{A}u(x) + \mathcal{A}u(x-h) \\ = &\{\mathcal{A}_{x-h}u(x+h) - 2\mathcal{A}_x u(x+h) + \mathcal{A}_{x+h}u(x+h)\} \\ &+\{[\mathcal{A}_{x-h}u(x+t) - \mathcal{A}_x u(x+t)] - [\mathcal{A}_{x-h}u(x-t) - \mathcal{A}_x u(x-t)]\} \\ &+\{\mathcal{A}_x u(x+h) - 2\mathcal{A}_x u(x) + \mathcal{A}_x u(x-h)\}, \end{aligned}$$

the statement follows by Proposition 11. ■

4 Equation with coefficients independent of spatial variable

In this section, we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\partial_t u(t,x) = A_t u(t,x) - \lambda u(t,x) + f(t,x), & (t,x) \in H \\ u(0,x) = 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^d,\end{array}\right. \tag{27}$$

assuming that the function $m(t,x,y)$ does not depend on x.

Theorem 13 *Let $\alpha \in (0,2), \beta \in (0,1], m(t,x,y) = m(t,y)$ and Assumption A be satisfied.*

Then for each $f \in C^{\beta}(H)$ there is a unique solution $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ to (27). Moreover, the solution satisfies (15)-(17).

Proof. *Uniqueness.* Let $u^1, u^2 \in C^{\alpha+\beta}$ be two solutions to (27). Then the function $u = u^1 - u^2$ satisfies (27) with $f = 0$.

Let a nonnegative $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be such that $\int \zeta dx = 1$. Denote

$$\zeta_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-d}\zeta(x/\varepsilon), x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \varepsilon \in (0,1),$$

and

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = u(t,\cdot) * \zeta_{\varepsilon}(x), (t,x) \in H.$$

Then u_{ε} solves (27) with $f = 0$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a complete probability space with a filtration of σ-algebras $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions. We fix $t_0 \in (0,T)$ and introduce an $\mathbb{F}$-adapted Poisson point measure $p(dt,dy)$ on $[0,t_0] \times \mathbf{R}_0^d$ with a compensator $m(t_0 - t, y)dtdy/|y|^{d+\alpha}$. Let

$$q(dt,dy) = p(dt,dy) - m(t_0 - t, y)\frac{dtdy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}$$

be the corresponding martingale measure and

$$X_t = \int_{s_0}^{t}\int \chi_{\alpha}(y) y q(ds,sy) + \int_0^t \int (1 - \chi_{\alpha}(y)) y p(ds,dy)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. By Ito's formula

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon}(t_0,x) &= u_{\varepsilon}(t_0,x) - \mathbf{E}u_{\varepsilon}(0, x + X_{t_0})e^{-\lambda t_0} \\ &= \mathbf{E}\int_0^{t_0} e^{-\lambda t}\left[\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - Au_{\varepsilon} + \lambda u_{\varepsilon}\right](t - t_0, x + X_t)dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε, t_0 and x are arbitrary, we have $u = 0$.

Existence. First we prove the existence of a solution to (27) for a smooth input function f.

We introduce an $\mathbb{F}$-adapted Poisson measure $\bar{p}(dt, dz)$ on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}_0$ with a compensator $dtdz/z^2$. Let

$$\bar{q}(dt, dz) = \bar{p}(dt, dz) - \frac{dtdz}{z^2}$$

be the corresponding martingale measure. According to Lemma 14.50 in [7], there is a measurable function $\bar{c} : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}_0 \to \mathbf{R}^d$ such that for every Borel $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{R}_0^d$

$$\int_\Gamma (m(t, y) - m_0(t, y)) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} = \int 1_\Gamma(\bar{c}(t, z)) \frac{dz}{z^2}.$$

Let

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \int (1 - \chi_\alpha(\bar{c}(t, z)))\bar{c}(t, z)\bar{p}(dz, dz) + \int_0^t \int \chi_\alpha(\bar{c}(t, z))c(t, z)\bar{q}(ds, dz).$$

For $f \in C_b^\infty(H)$, we consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t, x) &= A_\alpha^0 u(t, x) + f(t, x - Y_t), (t, x) \in H, \\ u(0, x) &= 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^d. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By Lemma 8, there is a unique solution $u \in C_b^\infty(H)$ to (28). Moreover, the solution satisfies (15)-(17) $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. In addition, the solution is $\mathbb{F}$-adapted because

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int G_{s,t}^\lambda(y) f(s, x - y - Y_s) dyds.$$

Using (12), we have for any multiindex γ,

$$D^\gamma u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int G_{s,t}^\lambda(y) D_x^\gamma f(s, x - y - Y_s) dyds$$

and

$$\text{essup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \sup_{t,x} |D_x^\gamma u(t, x)| < \infty. \tag{29}$$

Let $\bar{A}$ be the operator defined as the operator A with m replaced by $m - m_0$. According to (28) and the Ito-Wentzell formula (see [16]),

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x + Y_t) - u(0, x) &= \int_0^t [\partial_s u(s, x + Y_s) + \bar{A} u(s, x + Y_s) + M_t \\ &= \int_0^t [A u(s, x + Y_s) - \lambda u(s, x + Y_s) + f(s, x)] ds + M_t, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where

$$M_t = \int_0^t \int [u(s, x + Y_{s-} + \bar{c}(t, z)) - u(s, x + Y_{s-})]\bar{q}(ds, dz).$$

Taking expectation on both sides of (30) and using (29), we conclude that the function $v(t, x) = \mathbf{E}u(t, x + Y_t)$ belongs to $C_b^\infty(H)$ and solves (27). Moreover, v satisfies (15)-(17) because u satisfies (15)-(17) $\mathbf{P}$-a.s.

Next we prove the existence of a solution to (27) for $f \in C^\beta(H)$. By Lemma 6, there is a sequence $f_n \in C_b^\infty(H)$ such that

$$|f_n|_\beta \leq 2|f|_\beta, |f|_\beta \leq \liminf_n |f_n|_\beta, \tag{31}$$

and for every $\kappa \in (0, \beta)$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |f_n - f|_\kappa = 0. \tag{32}$$

According to the first part of the proof and (31), for each n there is a unique solution $u_n \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ to (27) with f replaced by f_n. Moreover, there are constants $C_1 = C_1(\alpha, \beta, \mu, K, T)$ and $C_2 = C_2(\alpha, d)$ such that

$$|u_n|_{\alpha+\beta} \leqslant C_1|f|_\beta, \tag{33}$$

$$|u_n|_\beta \leq C_2(\alpha, d)(\lambda^{-1} \wedge T)|f|_{0,\beta;p}, \tag{34}$$

and for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|u_n(t, \cdot) - u_n(s, \cdot)|_{\alpha/2+\beta} \leq C(t - s)^{1/2}|f|_\beta. \tag{35}$$

Fix an arbitrary $\kappa \in (0, \beta)$. Again, by the first part of he proof, there is a constant C not depending on n such that

$$|u_n|_{\alpha+\kappa} \leqslant C|f_n|_\kappa.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 6 and (32),

$$|u_n - u_k|_{\alpha+\kappa} \leqslant C|f_n - f_k|_\kappa \to 0$$

as $n, k \to \infty$. Hence, there is $u \in C^{\alpha+\kappa}(H)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |u_n - u|_{\alpha+\kappa} = 0. \tag{36}$$

According to (33) and (36), we have $[\partial^\alpha u_n]_\beta \leq C_1|f|_\beta$ and $|\partial^\alpha u_n - \partial^\alpha u|_0 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $[\partial^\alpha u]_\beta \leq C_1|f|_\beta$ and $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$. Passing to the limit in (33)-(35) as $n \to \infty$ we conclude that u satisfies (15)-(17). Finally, passing to the limit in the equation

$$u_n(t, x) = \int_0^t [Au_n - \lambda u_n + f_n](s, x)ds$$

and using Corollary 12, we conclude that u solves (27).

The theorem is proved. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 4

We follow the proof of Theorem 5 in [14] with obvious changes.

It is well known that for an arbitrary but fixed $\delta > 0$ there is a family of cubes $D_k \subseteq \tilde{D}_k \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ and a family of deterministic functions $\eta_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with the following properties:

1. For all $k \geq 1, D_k$ and $\tilde{D}_k$ have a common center x_k, diam $D_k \leq \delta$,dist$(D_k, \mathbf{R}^d \backslash \tilde{D}_k) \leq C\delta$ for a constant $C = C(d) > 0, \cup_k D_k = \mathbf{R}^d$, and $1 \leq \sum_k 1_{\tilde{D}_k} \leq 2^d$.

2. For all k, $0 \leq \eta_k \leq 1, \eta_k = 1$ in $D_k, \eta_k = 0$ outside of $\tilde{D}_k$ and for all multiindices $\gamma, |\gamma| \leq 3$,

$$|\partial^\gamma \eta_k| \leq C(d)\delta^{-|\gamma|}.$$

For $\alpha \in (0,2), k \geq 1$, denote

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k u(t,x) &= A_{t,x_k} u(t,x), \\
E_k u(t,x) &= \int [u(t,x+y) - u(t,x)][\eta_k(x+y) - \eta_k(x)] m(t,x_k,y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \\
E_{k,1} u(t,x) &= \int [u(t,x+y) - u(t,x)][\eta_k(x+y) - \eta_k(x)] \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \\
F_k u(t,x) &= u(t,x) A_k \eta_k(x), F_{k,1} u(t,x) = u(t,x) \partial^\alpha \eta_k(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$A_k(u\eta_k) = \eta_k A_k u + E_k u + F_k u \tag{37}$$

and

$$\partial^\alpha(u\eta_k) = \eta_k \partial^\alpha u + E_{k,1} u + F_{k,1} u. \tag{38}$$

It is readily checked that there is $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$ and a constant $C = C = C(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\delta)$ such that

$$\sup_k \left(|E_k^{(\alpha)} u(t,\cdot)|_\beta + |E_{k,1}^{(\alpha)} u(t,\cdot)|_\beta \right) \leq C|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}$$

and, by Corollary 12,

$$\sup_k \left(|F_k^{(\alpha)} u(t,\cdot)|_\beta + |F_{k,1}^{(\alpha)} u(t,\cdot)|_\beta \right) \leq C|u|_\beta. \tag{39}$$

Hence, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\delta,\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\sup_k \left(|E_k^{(\alpha)} u(t,\cdot)|_\beta + |E_{k,1}^{(\alpha)} u(t,\cdot)|_\beta \right) \leq \varepsilon|u|_{\alpha+\beta} + C|u|_0. \tag{40}$$

Elementary calculation shows that for every $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_0 &\leq \sup_k \sup_x |\eta_k(x)u(x)|, \\ |u|_\beta &\leq \sup_k |\eta_k u|_\beta + C|u|_0, \\ \sup_k |\eta_k u|_\beta &\leq |u|_\beta + C|u|_0, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

the constant $C = C(\beta, d, \delta)$. By (38) and (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{\alpha,\beta} &= |u|_0 + |\partial^\alpha u|_\beta \leq \sup_k |\eta_k \partial^\alpha u|_\beta + C|u|_0 \\ &= \sup_k |\partial^\alpha(\eta_k u) - E_{k,1}u - F_{k,1}u|_\beta + C|u|_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (40) and (39), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, d, \delta)$ such that for every $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$

$$|u|_{\alpha,\beta} \leq \sup_k |\partial^\alpha (u\eta_k)|_\beta + \varepsilon|\partial^\alpha u|_\beta + C|u|_0.$$

Therefore,

$$|u|_{\alpha,\beta} \leq 2\sup_k |u\eta_k|_{\alpha,\beta} + C|u|_0,$$

where the constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta, d, \delta)$. This estimate, together with Lemma 7, implies

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C_1 \sup_k |u\eta_k|_{\alpha+\beta} + C_2|u|_0, \tag{42}$$

where the constants $C_1 = C_1(\alpha, \beta, d), C_2 = C_2(\alpha, \beta, d, \delta)$.

Let $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ be a solution of (9). Then $\eta_k u$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\eta_k u) &= A_k(\eta_k u) - \lambda(\eta_k u) + \eta_k(Au - A_k u) + \eta_k f + \eta_k Bu \\ &\quad -F_k u - E_k u. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

By Theorem 13,

$$|\eta_k u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C\left(|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_\beta + |\eta_k Bu|_\beta + |\eta_k f|_\beta + |F_k u|_\beta + |E_k u|_\beta\right),$$

where the constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta, d, \mu, K, T)$. By (42),

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C_1 \left(\sup_k |\eta_k f|_\beta + I\right) + C_2|u|_0, \tag{44}$$

where the constants $C_1 = C_1(\alpha, \beta, d, \mu, K, T), C_2 = C_2(\alpha, \beta, d, K, \delta, T)$ and

$$I = \sup_k \left(|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_\beta + |\eta_k Bu|_\beta + |F_k u|_\beta + |E_k u|_\beta\right).$$

By Corollary 12, there is $\beta' \in (0,\beta)$ such that

$$|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_\beta \leq C_1 \left(\delta^\beta |u|_{\alpha+\beta} + C_2 |u|_{\alpha+\beta'} \right),$$

where the constants $C_1 = C_1(\alpha,\beta,d,K), C_2 = C_2(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\delta)$. Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ so that

$$|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_\beta \leq \varepsilon |u|_{\alpha+\beta} + C|u|_0,$$

where the constant $C = C(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\varepsilon)$. Hence, by (40), (39) and Lemma 10, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$I \leq \varepsilon |u|_{\alpha+\beta} + C|u|_0, \tag{45}$$

where the constant $C = C(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\varepsilon)$. This estimate, together with (44) and (37), implies

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C[|f|_\beta + |u|_0], \tag{46}$$

where the constant $C = C(\alpha,\beta,d,K,\mu,T)$.

On the other hand, according to (43) and Theorem 13,

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_0 \quad &\leq \quad \sup_k |\eta_k u|_\beta \leq \mu(\lambda) \sup_k [|f|_\beta + |\eta_k(Au - A_k)|_\beta + |\eta_k Bu|_\beta \\ &\quad\; + |F_k u|_\beta + |E_k u|_\beta], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(\lambda) \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. So,

$$|u|_0 \leq C\mu(\lambda)(|f|_\beta + |u|_{\alpha+\beta}). \tag{47}$$

The inequalities (46) and (47) imply that there is $\lambda_0 > 0$ and a constant C not depending on u such that

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C|f|_\beta \tag{48}$$

if $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. If $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ solves (9) with $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, then $\tilde{u}(t,x) = e^{-\lambda(\lambda_0-\lambda)t} u(t,x)$ solves the same equation with $\lambda = \lambda_0$, and by (48)

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq e^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)T} |\tilde{u}|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C e^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)T} |f|_\beta.$$

So, (48) holds for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

By Theorem 13 and (42), there is a constant C such that for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t,\cdot) - u(s,\cdot)|_{\alpha/2+\beta} \quad &\leq \quad C \sup_k |\eta_k u(t,\cdot) - \eta_k u(s,\cdot)|_{\alpha/2+\beta} \\ &\leq \quad C(t-s)^{1/2} \left(|f|_\beta + |u|_{\alpha+\beta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there is a constant C such that for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|u(t,\cdot) - u(s,\cdot)|_{\alpha/2+\beta} \leq C(t-s)^{1/2}|f|_{\beta}.$$

We finish the proof applying the continuation by parameter argument. Let

$$L_{\tau}u = \tau Lu + (1-\tau)\,\partial^{\alpha}u, \tau \in [0,1]\,.$$

We introduce the space $\hat{C}^{\alpha+\beta}\,(H)$ of functions $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ such that for each (t,x), $u\,(t,x) = \int_0^t F\,(s,x)\;ds$,where $F \in C^{\beta}\,(H)\,.$ It is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$|\,|u|\,|_{\alpha,\beta} = |u|_{\alpha+\beta} + |F|_{\beta}\,.$$

Consider the mappings $T_{\tau} : \hat{C}^{\alpha+\beta}\,(H) \to C^{\beta}(H)$ defined by

$$u\,(t,x) = \int_0^t F\,(s,x)\;ds \longmapsto F - L_{\tau}u.$$

Obviously, for some constant C not depending on τ,

$$|T_{\tau}u|_{\beta} \leq C|\,|u|\,|_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

On the other hand, there is a constant C not depending on τ such that for all $u \in \hat{C}^{\alpha+\beta}\,(H)$

$$|\,|u|\,|_{\alpha,\beta} \leq C\,|T_{\tau}u|_{\beta}\,. \tag{49}$$

Indeed,

$$u\,(t,x) = \int_0^t F\,(s,x)\;ds = \int_0^t \left(L_{\tau}u + (F - L_{\tau}u)\right)(s,x)\,ds,$$

and, according to the estimate (48), there is a constant C not depending on τ such that

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C\,|T_{\tau}u|_{\beta} = C\,|F - L_{\tau}u|_{\beta}\,. \tag{50}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\,|u||_{\alpha,\beta} &= |u|_{\alpha+\beta} + |F|_{\beta} \leq |u|_{\alpha+\beta} + |F - L_{\tau}u|_{\beta} + |L_{\tau}u|_{\beta} \\ &\leq C\left(|u|_{\alpha+\beta} + |F - L_{\tau}u|_{\beta}\right) \leq C\,|F - L_{\tau}u|_{\beta} = C\,|T_{\tau}u|_{\beta}\,, \end{aligned}$$

and (49) follows. Since T_0 is an onto map, by Theorem 5.2 in [6] all the T_{τ} are onto maps and the statement follows.

6 Martingale problem

In this section, we consider the martingale problem associated with the operator

$$L^0 = A + B^0,$$

where B^0 is the operator B defined by (8) with $\rho \geq 0$ and $l = 0$.

Let $D = D([0,T], \mathbf{R}^d)$ be the Skorokhod space of cadlag $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued trajectories and let $X_t = X_t(w) = w_t, w \in D$, be the canonical process on it.

Let

$$\mathcal{D}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t), \mathcal{D} = \vee_t \mathcal{D}_t, \mathbb{D} = (\mathcal{D}_{t+}), t \in [0,T].$$

We say that a probability measure $\mathbf{P}$ on $(D, \mathcal{D})$ is a solution to the (s,x,L)-martingale problem (see [18], [13]) if $\mathbf{P}(X_r = x, 0 \leq r \leq s) = 1$ and for all $u \in C_0^\infty(H)$ the process

$$u(t, X_t) - \int_s^t [\partial_t u(r, X_r) + L^0 u(r, X_r)] dr \tag{51}$$

is a $(\mathbb{D}, \mathbf{P})$-martingale. We denote $\mathcal{S}(s,x,L^0)$ the set of all solutions to the problem (s,x,L^0)-martingale problem.

Lemma 14 *let $\alpha \in (0,2), \beta \in (0,1]$ and Assumptions A, B1 and B2 with $\rho \geq 0$ and $l = 0$ be satisfied. Let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{S}(s,x,L^0), f \in C^\beta(H)$, and let $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ be a solution to the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) + L_t^0 u(t,x) &= f(t,x), (t,x) \in H, \\ u(T,x) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Then the process (51) is a $(\mathbb{D}, \mathbf{P})$-martingale and

$$u(s,x) = -\mathbf{P}_{s,x} \int_s^T f(r, X_r) dr, (s,x) \in H. \tag{53}$$

Proof. Let ζ_ε be the function introduced in the proof of Theorem 13 and

$$u_\varepsilon(t,x) = u(t,\cdot) * \zeta_\varepsilon(x), (t,x) \in H, \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

Let $r < t$ and h be a bounded $\mathcal{F}_r$-measurable random variable.Then

$$\mathbf{P}_{s,x}\{h[u_\varepsilon(t, X_t) - u_\varepsilon(r, X_r) - \int_r^t (\partial_t u_\varepsilon(s, X_s) + L^0 u_\varepsilon(s, X_s)) ds]\} = 0.$$

Passing to the limit in this equality as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and using (52), we get

$$\mathbf{P}_{s,x}\{h[u(t,X_t) - u(r,X_r) - \int_r^t f(s,X_s))ds]\} = 0.$$

In particular, for $t = T, r = 0, h = 1$, (53) follows. ■

Proposition 15 *Let Assumptions A, B1 and B2 with $\rho \geq 0$ and $l = 0$ be satisfied. Then for each $(s,x) \in H$ there is a unique solution $\mathbf{P}_{s,x}$ to the martingale problem (s,x,L^0), and the process $(X_t, \mathbb{D}, (\mathbf{P}_{s,x}))$ is strong Markov.*

If, in addition,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^T \sup_x \int_{|c(t,x,\upsilon)|>R} \rho(t,x,\upsilon)\pi(d\upsilon)dt = 0,$$

then the function $\mathbf{P}_{s,x}$ is weakly continuous in (s,x).

Proof. Since the coefficients of L^0 are Hölder continuous, it follows by Theorem IX.2.31 in [8] that the set $\mathcal{S}(s,x,L^0) \neq \emptyset$. For $f \in C^\beta(H)$, let $u \in C_{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ be the solution to (9). By Lemma 14,

$$u(s,x) = \mathbf{P}_{s,x} \int_s^T f(r,X_r)dr, \mathbf{P}_{s,x} \in \mathcal{S}(s,x,L).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 [13], the measure $\mathbf{P}_{s,x} \in \mathcal{S}(s,x,L^0)$ is unique. By Lemma 2.2 in [13], the process $(X_t, \mathbb{D}, (\mathbf{P}_{s,x}))$ is strong Markov. The continuity of the function $(s,x) \to \mathbf{P}_{s,x}$ follows from Theorems IX.2.22 and IX.3.9 in [8]. ■

References

[1] Abels, H. and Kassman, M., The Cauchy problem and the martingale problem for integro-differential operators with non-smooth kernels, Osaka J. Math. 46 (2009) 661-683.

[2] Bass, R.F., Regularity results of stable-like operators, J. Funct. Analysis, 257 (2009), 2693-2722.

[3] Bergh, J. and Löfström, J., *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer Verlag, 1976.

[4] Caffarelli, L. and Silvestre, L., Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 62, 2009, 597–638.

[5] Caffarelli, L., Vasseur, A., Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasigeostrophic equation, Annals of Math., Vol. 171, No. 3, 2010, 1903-1930.

[6] Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N. S. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order.* Springer, New York, 1983.

[7] Jacod, J., *Calcul Stochastique et Problèmes de Martingales*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 714, Springer Verlag, Berlin New York, 1979.

[8] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A.N., Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes, Springer, 1987.

[9] Dong H. and Kim D., On Lp estimates of non-local elliptic equations, arXiv:1102.4073v1 [math.AP], 2011.

[10] Dong H. and Kim D., Schauder estimates for a class of non-local elliptic equations, arXiv:1103.5069v2 [math.AP], 2011.

[11] Komatsu, T., On the Martingale Problem for Generators of Stable Processes with Perturbations, *Osaka J. of Math.* 22-1 (1984) 113-132.

[12] Mikulevičius, R. and Pragarauskas, H., On the Cauchy Problem for Certain Integro-Differential Operators in Sobolev and Hölder Spaces, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal* 32-2 (1992) 238-264.

[13] Mikulevičius, R. and Pragarauskas, H., On the Martingale Problem Associated with Nondegenerate Lévy Operators, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal* 32-3 (1992) 297-311.

[14] Mikulevičius, R. and Pragarauskas, H., On Hölder Solutions of the Integro-Differential Zakai Equation, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 119 (2009) 3319-3355.

[15] Mikulevičius, R. and Pragarauskas, H., On L_p- theory for Zakai equation with discontinuous observation process, arXiv:1012.5816v1 [math.PR], 2010.

[16] Mikulevičius, R., Properties of solutions of stochastic differential equations, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal,* 23-4 (1984) 367–376.

[17] Triebel, H., *Theory of Function Spaces II.* Birkhaueser Verlag, 1992.

[18] Stroock, D.W., Diffusion processes associated with Levy generators, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 32 (1975), 209-244.